

# Mountain View High

## School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from 2010–11 School Year Published During 2011–12

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

- This report has been compiled by **Multiple Measures, LLC** (<http://www.k12multiplemeasures.com>).
- The data were acquired from both the school and the CDE (<http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>).
- A single asterisk in a cell (\*) means that the size of the group was numerically insignificant.

## I. Data and Access

### Ed-Data Partnership Web Site

Ed-Data is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

### Contact Information (School Year 2011-12)

School		District	
<b>School Name</b>	Mountain View High	<b>District Name</b>	El Dorado Union High
<b>Street</b>	6530 Koki Ln.	<b>Phone Number</b>	(530) 622-5081
<b>City, State, Zip</b>	El Dorado, CA, 95623	<b>Web Site</b>	www.eduhsd.k12.ca.us
<b>Phone Number</b>	(530) 621-4003	<b>Superintendent</b>	Chris Hoffman
<b>Principal</b>	Jennifer Myers, Continuation Principal	<b>E-mail Address</b>	supt@eduhsd.net
<b>E-mail Address</b>	jmyers@umhs.eduhsd.k12.ca.us	<b>CDS Code</b>	09618530101808

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

Mountain View High School, opening in August 2003, and is the newest of three continuation high schools in El Dorado Union High School District. The school's enrollment averages 40-55 students throughout the school year.

Mountain View High School, as a small school located on the Union Mine High School campus, focuses on repairing students' graduation credit status, while maintaining that critical connection to supportive friends and staff at UMHS. Mountain View's individualized program strives to prepare students for post-secondary options. Mt. View is fully accredited by WASC, and all courses are "a-g" approved by the UC/CSU system.

Parents are a critical part of the Mountain View approach and represent the school on district committees, as well as actively participate in their students' education.

## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

Mountain View/Union Mine High School works hard to provide as many opportunities as possible for parents to be involved in our school community. We offer both a fall and spring term Back-to-School Nights (which are both preceded by a presentation from our Counseling Staff), Eighth Grade Parent Night, New Student Orientation, and "Parents on Campus" Day. In addition, parents play in integral role in our School Site Council, our Sober Grad Night activity, and Senior Parent Nights.

In order to foster effective communication with our parents, we utilize the "Blackboard Connect Ed" dialing system which allows us to send information home via telephone and e-mail, a weekly e-mail message from the Principal communicating the various activities and event taking place on campus, Aeries Browser Interface (ABI) which allows parents to access grades and attendance, and eight report cards during the school to keep parents apprised of their child's academic progress. . In addition, students email parents weekly from their advisory course to provide a weekly update on academic progress.

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 9	0
Grade 10	3
Grade 11	23
Grade 12	17
Ungraded Secondary	0
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>43</b>

## Student Enrollment by Subgroup (School Year 2010-11)

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0%	White	76.7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2.3%	Two or More Races	4.7%
Asian	2.3%	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	41.9%
Filipino	0%	English Learners	2.3%
Hispanic or Latino	14%	Students with Disabilities	7%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0%		

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2008-09				2009-10				2010-11			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes*			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classes*		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
<b>English</b>	3.1	12	0	0	no data	no data	no data	no data	no	no	no	no data
<b>Mathematics</b>	2.4	16	0	0	no data	no data	no data	no data	no	no	no	no data
<b>Science</b>	1.2	6	0	0	no data	no data	no data	no data	no	no	no	no data
<b>Social Science</b>	1.8	22	0	0	no data	no data	no data	no data	no	no	no	no data

\* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

### III. School Climate

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2010-11)

Policies have been developed for campus safety, maintenance and cleanliness. All facilities are in good repair and are safe for students and staff. School personnel and the district maintenance department perform a yearly assessment of the condition of the facility. All efforts to ensure building safety, cleanliness, and adequacy have been successful.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

Rate	School			District		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
<b>Suspensions</b>	35%	83%	149%	17%	17%	14%
<b>Expulsions</b>	3%	11%	0%	1.2%	0.9%	0.7%

\* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment.

### IV. School Facilities

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

Staff, parents and students consider the facility clean, of adequate size and safe. MVHS is located in a two classroom setting on the UMHS campus, which provides sufficient space for instructional needs. Each teacher has an assigned classroom. As MVHS shares the Union Mine campus, there is an athletic field and other appropriate physical education facilities available for student use. In addition, two part time teachers, have access to the teacher's classrooms providing additional core support to students.

During the 2008-09 school year, as a result of the Carol M. White Grant, MVHS was provided with a complete physical education facility with state-of-the-art equipment in an adjacent portable building.

The District continues to provide funds for painting and landscaping of the MVHS/UMHS campus. All facilities are in good condition. The school bathrooms are new. The MVHS campus is kept free of graffiti and litter. The district provides the school with excellent custodial services.

## School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X				
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	X				
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X				
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	X				
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X				
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X				
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	X				
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X				
<b>Overall Rating</b>	X				

Note: Cells shaded in gray do not require data.

## V. Teachers

### Teacher Credentials

Teachers	School			District
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2010-11
<b>With Full Credential</b>	2	2	2	301
<b>Without Full Credential</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence</b>	0	0	0	0

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
<b>Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners</b>	0	0	0
<b>Total Teacher Misassignments*</b>	0	0	0
<b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

## Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* Web page at: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/>

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by	
	Highly Qualified Teachers	Non-Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	no data	no data
All Schools in District	no data	no data
High-Poverty Schools in District	no data	no data
Low-Poverty Schools in District	no data	no data

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

## VI. Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0.1	43
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0	
Psychologist	0	
Social Worker	0	
Nurse	0	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	County Provided	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	
Other	0	

Note: Cells shaded in gray do not require data.

\* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	English 1: <b>Literature</b> , McDougal Littell, 2009 - 9 <sup>th</sup> Edition (6/23/2009) English 2: <b>Elements of Literature</b> , w/readings in World Literature – 4 <sup>th</sup> Course, Holt Rinehart Winston, 2000 (5/23/2000) English 3: <b>The Language of Literature</b> , McDougal Littell, 2002 (6/8/2004) English 4: <b>Elements of Literature</b> , British Literature – EDHS, ORHS, PHS, Holt Rinehart Winston, 2003 (6/23/2009); <b>Literature and Language Arts</b> – UMHS, Holt Rinehart Winston, 2003 (5/20/2003).	0
Mathematics	Algebra Foundations: <b>CA Algebra Readiness: Concepts, Skills, &amp; Problem Solving</b> , Glencoe, 2008 (6/23/2009) Algebra 1: <b>Algebra 1</b> , Prentice Hall Mathematics, 2004 (6/13/2006) Geometry: <b>Geometry</b> , McDougal Littell, 2001 - 1 <sup>st</sup> Edition (4/2000) Algebra 2: <b>Algebra 2: Concepts, Skills, &amp; Problem Solving</b> , Glencoe, 2008 (6/23/2009) Advanced Algebra 2: <b>Algebra 2</b> , Holt Rinehart Winston, 2001 (5/8/2001) Math Analysis: <b>Pre-Calculus a Graphing Approach</b> , Holt Rinehart Winston, 2002 (5/20/2003)	0
Science	Physical/Earth Science: <b>Glencoe Science: Earth Science, Geology, the Environment</b> , Glencoe/McGraw Hill, 2007 (6/12/2007). Biology: <b>Biology, Visualizing Life</b> – EDHS, Holt Rinehart Winston, 1998 (5/8/2001); <b>Modern Biology</b> – ORHS, PHS, UMHS, Holt Rinehart Winston, 2002 (5/8/2001) Chemistry: <b>Chemistry</b> , Prentice Hall, 2005 (6/13/2006) Physics: <b>Physics</b> , Holt Rinehart Winston, 2009 (6/23/2009)	0
History-Social Science	World History: <b>The Modern World</b> , Prentice Hall, 2007 (6/12/2007) U.S. History/Geography: <b>Pursuing American Ideals</b> , Teachers' Curriculum Institute, 2007 (6/12/2007) American Government: <b>Magruder's American Government</b> , Prentice Hall, 2006 – CA Edition (6/13/06) Economics: <b>Economics, Principles and Practices</b> , Glencoe, 2005, (6/13/2006) Sociology: <b>Sociology and You</b> , Glencoe, 2003 (6/14/2005) Psychology: <b>Understanding Psychology</b> , Glencoe, 2003 (6/14/2005)	0
Foreign Language	Spanish 1-3: <b>Realidades – Books 1-3</b> , Prentice Hall, 2004, (6/14/2005) Spanish 4 & AP: <b>Nuevas Vistas</b> , Holt Rinehart Winston, 2005 (6/14/2005) German 1-4: <b>Deutsch Aktuell – Levels 1-3</b> , EMC/Paradigm, 2004 (5/12/2009) French 1-4: <b>Discovering French Nouveau, Levels 1-3</b> , McDougal Littell, 2004 (6/14/2005) Italian 1-4: <b>Oggi in Italia</b> , Houghton Mifflin, 1998 (5/8/2001) Japanese 1-4: Supplemental materials (5/8/2001)	0
Health	Health: <b>Glencoe Health</b> , Glencoe/McGraw Hill, 2009 (6/15/2008)	0
Visual and Performing Arts	Miscellaneous Supplemental Instructional Materials	0
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	Sufficient lab equipment (i.e., microscopes, beakers, test tubes, scales, centrifuges, etc.) is provided to accommodate lab stations of 2-4 students	0

## VIII. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7,122	39	\$7,083	\$69,163
District			\$7,845	\$68,392
Percent Difference: School Site and District			-10%	1%
State			\$5,455	\$70,570
Percent Difference: School Site and State			30%	-2%

Note: Cells shaded in gray do not require data.

**Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted. **Basic/unrestricted** expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the *CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/>. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the *CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: <http://www.ed-data.org>.

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

Mountain View High School provides academic recovery, credit recovery, and additional core curricular support via categorical funds.

### Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,424	\$42,954
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$58,043	\$69,905
Highest Teacher Salary	\$84,216	\$89,464
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$0	no data
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$0	\$121,722
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$138,434	\$128,348
Superintendent Salary	\$229,656	\$205,119
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	39%	37%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	7%	5%

Note: For detailed information on salaries, see the *CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits* Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/>.

## IX. Student Performance

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at <http://star.cde.ca.gov>.

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
	School			District			State		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
<b>English-Language Arts</b>	27%	21%	33%	68%	68%	68%	49%	52%	54%
<b>Mathematics</b>	0%	3%	7%	43%	42%	46%	46%	48%	50%
<b>Science</b>	0%	27%	0%	68%	68%	72%	50%	54%	57%
<b>History-Social Science</b>	9%	6%	16%	64%	63%	64%	41%	44%	48%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
All Students in the LEA	68%	46%	72%	64%
All Students at the School	33%	7%	0%	16%
Male	33%	0%	0%	29%
Female	0%	0%	0%	0%
Black or African American	no data	no data	no data	no data
American Indian or Alaska Native	no data	no data	no data	no data
Asian	0%	0%	0%	0%
Filipino	no data	no data	no data	no data
Hispanic or Latino	0%	0%	0%	0%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	no data	no data	no data	no data
White	39%	7%	0%	18%
Two or More Races	0%	0%	0%	0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	25%	0%	0%	6%
English Learners	no data	no data	no data	no data
Students with Disabilities	0%	0%	0%	0%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	no data	no data	no data	no data

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

### California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB.

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at <http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/>.

### California High School Exit Examination Results for All Grade Ten Students – Three-Year Comparison (if applicable)

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	no data	29%	no data	75%	72%	80%	52%	54%	59%
Mathematics	no data	29%	no data	79%	77%	77%	53%	54%	56%

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group – Most Recent Year (if applicable)**

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	20	29	51	23	42	35
All Students at the School	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	0	0	0
Female	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0	0
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic or Latino	0	0	0	0	0	0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0
White	0	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0	0	0	0	0	0
English Learners	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0	0	0	0
Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2010-11)**

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tq/pf/>.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
9	no data	no data	no data

## X. Accountability

### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/>.

### Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	no data	no data	B
Similar Schools	no data	no data	B

### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
All Students at the School	no data	B	-16
Black or African American	no data	no data	no data
American Indian or Alaska Native	no data	no data	no data
Asian	no data	no data	no data
Filipino	no data	no data	no data
Hispanic or Latino	no data	no data	no data
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	no data	no data	no data
White	no data	no data	no data
Two or More Races		no data	no data
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	no data	no data	no data
English Learners	no data	no data	no data
Students with Disabilities	no data	no data	no data

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Note: Cells shaded in gray do not require data.

## Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

Group	2011 Growth API					
	Number of Students	School	Number of Students	LEA	Number of Students	State
All Students	13	570	5055	834	4683676	778
Black or African American	0	no data	55	815	317856	696
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	no data	57	803	33774	733
Asian	1	no data	170	918	398869	898
Filipino	0	no data	51	909	123245	859
Hispanic or Latino	0	no data	126	816	2406749	729
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	no data	22	750	26953	764
White	11	547	4068	839	1258831	845
Two or More Races	0	no data	108	837	76766	836
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	8	no data	842	741	2731843	726
English Learners	0	no data	2	no data	1521844	707
Students with Disabilities	2	no data	419	520	521815	595

### Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/>.

### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	Yes	No
Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
API	N/A	Yes
Graduation Rate	Yes	Yes

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE *PI Status Determinations* Web page: <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp>.

Indicator	School	District
<b>Program Improvement Status</b>	Not in PI	In PI
<b>First Year of Program Improvement</b>	no data	2009-2010
<b>Year in Program Improvement</b>	no data	Year 3
<b>Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement</b>		1
<b>Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement</b>		10

Note: Cells shaded in gray do not require data.

## XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

### Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

#### University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the *UC Admissions Information* Web page at <http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/>. (Outside source)

#### California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the *CSU* Web page at <http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml>. (Outside source)

### Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
<b>Dropout Rate (1-year)</b>	5.4%	0%	4.3%	1.4%	1.1%	1.4%	4.9%	5.7%	4.6%
<b>Graduation Rate</b>	no data	no data	93.58%	no data	no data	93.58%	80.21%	78.59%	80.44%

## Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the percent of students who began the 2010–11 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class, meeting all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Group	Graduating Class of 2011		
	School	District	State
All Students	100%	94%	no data
Black or African American	NA	100%	no data
American Indian or Alaska Native	NA	96%	no data
Asian	NA	100%	no data
Filipino	NA	100%	no data
Hispanic or Latino	100	95%	no data
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	NA	100%	no data
White	96%	98%	no data
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	88%	94%	no data
English Learners	100%	92%	no data
Students with Disabilities	100%	91%	no data

## Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2010-11)

The California Department of Education defines Career Technical Education (CTE) as --“a program of study that involves a multiyear sequence of courses that integrates core academic knowledge with technical and occupational knowledge to provide students with a pathway to postsecondary education and careers.” The Regional Occupational Program (ROP) is part of the public education system and an important component in the continuum of sequenced CTE classes. CTE coursework often leads to ROP courses, providing more focused, advanced capstone courses to prepare for entry-level jobs or to make a transition to postsecondary education, technical training, or apprenticeships. The purpose of ROP is to prepare students to (1) enter the workforce with the skills and competencies necessary to succeed, (2) pursue advanced training in higher educational institutions, and/or (3) upgrade existing skills and knowledge. The ROP delivery system is directly linked to business and industry through advisory committees and provides work-based learning opportunities for students.

To ensure that the skills taught and the context covered better match industry expectations and standards, industry input is required prior to Board approval of any CTE course revision and adoption process. CTE course curriculum are reviewed and approved by the El Dorado Union High School District's (EDUHSD) Standards and Instructional Leadership Team (SILT) committee, and the EDUHSD CTE Advisory Board. Some ROP courses are articulated with local California community college districts. ROP provides high-quality CTE programs and contributes to students' academic and career educational achievement, allowing them a smooth entry into the workforce or postsecondary education. Students receive a competency based certificate upon successful completion of the ROP program. Depending on the course, students may also receive industry certification that is recognized regionally, statewide, or nationally.

In 2010-11, seventy-eight percent of 12<sup>th</sup> grade CTE concentrators met the proficient or advanced level on the reading/language arts portion of the California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE). Seventy-nine percent met the proficient or advanced level on the mathematics portion of the CAHSEE. Students identified from special populations are provided with resources appropriate to their specific need. Instructional technicians, interpreters, and financial assistance referrals are among services provided to students from special populations. The percentage of CTE participants from underrepresented gender groups increased in the 2010-11 school year to over 29%. Nearly 34% of CTE concentrators from this group enrolled in a capstone CTE course that led to employment in a nontraditional field, and received a received an industry-recognized certification, or passed an end of program assessment aligned with industry-recognized standards.

District CTE teachers held a minimum of two industry specific advisory committee meetings during the 2010-11 school-year. Each committee consists of a minimum of five members from industry, one student member, and one faculty member who generally serves as secretary to the committee. During fall and/or spring, course curriculum, outlines, and projects were reviewed to ensure current industry standards are incorporated in student performance learning. The District also has a CTE Advisory Board which consists of a local business representative from each of the individual industry sector advisory committees; students; local community college, and university administrators; a representative from the CA State Employment Development Department; and district CTE faculty and administrators.

Several CTE teachers participated in the SB70 funded 2011 Summer Institute offered by the El Dorado County Career Technical Partnership. The teacher industry-based externship program increased teacher knowledge and their ability to apply core academic and career technical education content standards into their curriculum. A team of two teachers and one agency representative worked together to create contextual lessons/modules that relate to their industry. The teachers worked as “externs” with a local agency or business and learned first-hand the skills and qualities necessary to secure and keep jobs with local employers. Upon completion of the 40-hour externship, the team of three collaborators used the externship experience and the partner's in-depth knowledge of the business or industry to create contextual lessons or modules that the teachers delivered in their classrooms.

The end of the school year assessment is two-fold comprised of 1) CTE teachers self-assessment based on California teaching standards and the eleven (11) elements of a highly effective CTE program, and; 2) a student survey identifying attainment of student career goals. These survey results are reviewed by the district CTE advisory committee board, administrators, instructors, and the school Board of Trustees.

### Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2010-11)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0
Percent of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0
Percent of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0

### Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2010-11 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	no data
2009-10 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	4%

### Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2010-11)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	no data	
English	no data	
Fine and Performing Arts	no data	
Foreign Language	no data	
Mathematics	no data	
Science	no data	
Social Science	no data	
All courses	no data	no data

Note: Cells shaded in gray do not require data.

\*Where there are student course enrollments.

## XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### Professional Development

During the past three years, the district, through direction of the Board of Trustees, implemented “categorical flexibility” with regard to the professional development days beyond teacher contracted days. In doing so, the district has not implemented “buy back” professional development days as in past years.

In spite of the reduction of the traditional professional development days, the district has supported professional development opportunities in a variety of other ways. Each of the past three years, the district has supported teachers in the acquiring the authorization to educate English Learners. This support has included reimbursement of fees related to coursework and testing as well as working with other agencies in organizing and facilitating teacher trainings. The district has also provided professional development related to the district’s technology role out, specifically SMART Board training. A core group of teachers were trained as “trainer of trainers” and these teachers serve as resources across the district. All math and science teachers participated in SMART Board training during the past two years. Beyond the district level training, each site is allocated funds to support staff development opportunities at the site levels. Principals work with a site committee to identify appropriate trainings and the group approves individual and group requests. Topics of such trainings vary by site but have included topics such as support of English Learners, implementation of Common Core Standards, literacy skills including writing across content areas and training for teachers of Advanced Placement courses.

As resources for professional development have decreased the past few years, EDUHSD has maintained a commitment to the continued professional development of our staff members.

This SARC report was compiled on 01/27/2012 with version 12.0.4e by

**Multiple Measures, LLC**

[www.k12multiplemeasures.com](http://www.k12multiplemeasures.com)